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Overview

- What is Stuff I’ve Seen (SIS)?
  - SIS @ SIGIR 2003
  - Key findings
- What has changed?
- What is next?
Stuff I’ve Seen: @ SIGIR 2003

SIGIR 2003

Desktop Search in 2003

Stuff I’ve Seen

- Developed, deployed and evaluated a new system (algorithms and interface) for supporting re-finding

- Not a typical SIGIR paper …

  - **R1**: The considered problem is interesting and relevant. A system like SIS would really facilitate every day’s life. The collected data and the arguments drawn from it suggest the effectiveness of SIS. However, as the scientific value of the study really lies on the experiments, somewhat more comprehensive empirical study would have been appreciated.

  - **R3**: There was no reflection of the evaluation methods used. Some of the chosen criteria (variables) to evaluate the system were not motivated. The usage statistics was relevant point of departure, but e.g. why the query characteristics or comparison between rank vs. time options? The questions in the questionnaire were more focused evaluation measures.

- Yet, second most-cited paper from SIGIR 2003

- Also, influential in Windows Search today
Stuff I’ve Seen: Design Motivations

- Fast, flexible search over *stuff you’ve seen*
  - Heterogeneous content: files, email, calendar, web, rss, IM, …
  - Index: full-content plus metadata
  - Interface: highly interactive rich list-view
    - Sorting, filtering, scrolling
    - Grouping and previews
    - Rich actions on results (open, open folder, drag-and-drop)
    - New interface possibilities since it’s your content … re-finding

- Stuff I’ve Seen Demo
Stuff I’ve Seen: Evaluation

- Evaluation ... multiple methods
  - Deployed the system for 6+ weeks
    - Log data [mostly interaction data]
    - Questionnaires [pre and post]
    - Field experiments [3 variables, 6 alternative systems]
  - Also: Lab studies, Interviews, etc.

Top vs. Side

Preview vs. Not

Sort By Date vs. Rank
Stuff I’ve Seen: Results

- **Personal store characteristics**
  - 5–500k items

- **Query characteristics**
  - Very short queries (1.6 words)
  - Few advanced operators in the query box (7%); many in UI (48%)
    - Filters (type, date); modify query; re-sort results
  - People are important – 25% queries involve names/aliases

- **Items opened characteristics**
  - Type: Email (76%), Web pages (14%), Files (10%)
  - Age: Today (5%), Last week (21%), Last month (47%)
    - 53% > one month
    - Need to support episodic access to memory
Stuff I’ve Seen: Results (cont’d)

- Interface experiments
  - Small effects of Top vs. Side, or Preview vs. No Previews
  - Large effect of sort order (Date vs. Rank)
    - **Date** by far the most common sort order, even for people who had best-match Rank as the default
    - Few searches for “best” matching object
    - Many other criteria – e.g., time, people
  - Abstraction important in human memory
    - “Useful date”
      - Appointment, when it happens
      - Picture, when it was taken
      - Web, when it was seen
    - “People” in attribute (To, From, Author, Artist) vs. contains
    - “Picture” whether jpg, tif, png, gif, pdf, …
Example searches

Looking for: recent email from Fedor that contained a link to his new demo
Initiated from: Start menu
Query: from:Fedor

Looking for: the pdf of a SIGIR paper on context and ranking (not sure it used those words) that someone (don’t remember who) sent me a month ago
Initiated from: Outlook
Query: SIGIR

Looking for: meeting invite for the last intern handoff
Initiated from: Start menu
Query: intern handoff kind:appointment

Looking for: C# program I wrote a long time ago
Initiated from: Explorer pane
Query: QCluster*.*
Stuff I’ve Seen: Ranked list vs. Metadata (for personal content)

Why rich metadata?
- People remember many attributes in re-finding
  - Seldom: *only* general overall topic
  - Often: time, people, file type, etc.
  - Different attributes for different tasks
- Rich client-side interface
  - Support fast iteration and refinement
  - Fast filter-sort-scroll vs. next-next-next
  - “Fluidity of interactions”
- Desktop search != Web search
Beyond Stuff I’ve Seen

- Better support for human memory & integration with browsing
  - Memory Landmarks
  - LifeBrowser
  - Phlat

- Beyond search
  - Proactive retrieval
    - Stuff I Should See (IQ)
    - Temporal Gadget
  - Using desktop index as a rich “user model”
    - News Junkie
    - PSearch
    - DiffIE
Memory Landmarks

- Importance of episodes in human memory
  - Memory organized into episodes (Tulving, 1983)
  - People-specific events as anchors (Smith et al., 1978)
  - Time of events often recalled relative to other events, historical or autobiographical (Huttenlocher & Prohaska, 1997)

- Identify and use landmarks facilitate search and information management
  - Timeline interface, augmented w/ landmarks
  - Bayesian models to identify memorable events

- Extensions beyond search, Life Browser
Memory Landmarks

- General (world, calendar)
- Personal (appts, photos)

<linked by time to results>

Ringle et al., 2003

Distribution of Results Over Time

Search Results

Stuff I've Seen

PowerPoint Presentation
from Michael B. Smith — Re: Greetings
from John Smith — RE: Following from Earthquake Meeting
from Tim Thompson — RE: Followup from the earthquake meeting
from Janet S. Hazelton — Re: Rockin and Rollin...
from Bart Thompson — Following from Earthquake Meeting
from Bart Thompson — Greetings
from B.J. 2002 J.B.
from Samuel Atkins French — Re: Shakin Sue...
from Jennifer Rosenberg — Re: Last saltwater fish
from Bart Thompson — RE: WA-roker?
from Edward Finegold — A bit shaken?
Proceedings Template — WERO
from John Smith — RE: followup from the "earthquake meeting"
from Bart Thompson — Earthquake Report
from Mike Yin — RE: Relevance test review 1
from Jenny Harpoon — you got it?
from Cernog, Angelina — PM, Earthquake
from Trent van Britton (MSU) — Earthquake coverage
from Philip Larue — Earthquake

History 1.12

Earthquake 1.1

Seattle Earthquake Measurements
3/12/2000

Olympics in Sydney, Australia
9/15/2000

3/11/2000

World celebrates New Millennium vacation
12/21/1999

from Christina Borgman — RE: Seattle planes
from John Smith — Re: Search plan
from John Smith — Search plan
Memory Landmarks
key dependencies (from learned graphical model)
LifeBrowser – Selective Memory
What’s Changed?

- Desktop search is prevalent
  - Ships in Windows, OS X, GDS … and it is widely used
- E.g., Windows Search
  - LOTS of engineering — efficiency, coverage, robustness, etc.
  - Multiple entry points — start menu, explorer, applications (e.g., Outlook)
- New features and capabilities
  - Real-time results as you type (“word-wheel”)
  - Search to launch programs (in addition to finding content)
  - Context-specific options (filters, presentation)
  - Natural language search — e.g., mail from ryen sent this week
  - Tight coupling of navigation and search
  - Federation
What’s Changed? (cont’d)
Ex: Real-time results (and search to launch programs)
Ex: Context and natural-language search

- E.g., Windows Search
  - New features and capabilities
    - Real-time results as you type (“word-wheel”)
    - Search to launch programs (in addition to finding content)
    - Context-specific options (filters, presentation)
    - Natural language search – e.g., mail from ryen sent this week
    - Tight coupling of navigation and search
    - Federation
Ongoing Challenges

- Retrieval failures w/ desktop search
  - Vocabulary mismatch, can mitigate via metadata
  - Over specification
- Re-finding on the desktop vs. Web
  - Few navigational queries (except for commands)
  - Same query can have many intents (e.g., from:Eric)
- Evaluation
  - Individuals must make their own relevance judgments
  - Ranking vs. interaction
    - There is much more than a single ranking
    - Interaction – transparency, control and predictability matter
  - In situ vs. in simulation
    - Need to evaluate in situ – not enough to optimize a measure (or component) without seeing how it influences interaction
What’s Next?

- Universal or specialized search?
  - One flexible UI vs. many special purpose tools?
    - E.g., Email vs. photo vs. file search
  - General entry point, w/ context-specific features
  - Plus, application-specific access to same index

- Federation
  - Multiple “desktops” [PCs, mobile, other devices]
    - Mobile especially interesting
  - Desktop -> Cloud-based services (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, Mail)
    - More siloed? Where should the index live?
    - Web services vs. Web pages. What to index?
  - Personal vs. Social
    - Social aggregation – “spindex” (http://fuse.microsoft.com/projects-spindex.html)
Thanks!

- Questions / Comments?
- Additional info
  sdumais@microsoft.com
  http://research.microsoft.com/~sdumais